limited to information that directly affects the issues involved in the contested claim. Appellate issues that do not involve all of the contesting parties will be addressed in one or more separate written decisions, remands, or orders that will be furnished only to the appellants concerned and their representatives, if any.

(Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552a(b), 38 U.S.C. 5701(a)) [61 FR 68666, Dec. 30, 1996]

§19.9 Further development.

- (a) General. If further evidence, clarification of the evidence, correction of a procedural defect, or any other action is essential for a proper appellate decision, a Board Member or panel of Members may:
- (1) Remand the case to the agency of original jurisdiction, specifying the action to be undertaken; or
- (2) Direct Board personnel to undertake the action essential for a proper appellate decision.
- (i) Any such action shall comply with the provisions of §3.159(a) and (c)-(f) of this chapter (relating to VA's assistance to claimants in developing claims).
- (ii) If the Board undertakes to provide the notice required by 38 U.S.C. 5103(a) and/or §3.159(b)(1) of this chapter, the appellant shall have not less than 30 days to respond to the notice. If, following the notice, the Board denies a benefit sought in the pending appeal and the appellant submits relevant evidence after the Board's decision but before the expiration of one year following the notice, that evidence shall be referred to the agency of original jurisdiction. If any evidence so referred, together with the evidence already of record, is subsequently found to be the basis of an allowance of that benefit, the award's effective date will be the same as if the Board had granted the benefit in the appeal pending when the notice was provided.
- (b) Examples. A remand to the agency of original jurisdiction is not necessary:
- (1) To clarify a procedural matter before the Board, including the appellant's choice of representative before the Board, the issues on appeal, and re-

quests for a hearing before the Board;

- (2) For the Board to consider an appeal in light of law, including but not limited to statute, regulation, or court decision, not already considered by the agency of original jurisdiction.
- (c) *Scope*. This section does not apply to:
- (1) The Board's request for an opinion under Rule 901 (§ 20.901 of this chapter);
- (2) The Board's supplementation of the record with a recognized medical treatise; and
- (3) Matters over which the Board has original jurisdiction described in Rules 609 and 610 (§§ 20.609 and 20.610 of this chapter).

(Authority; 38~U.S.C.~7102,~7103(c),~7104(a)). [67 FR 3104, Jan. 23, 2002]

§19.10 [Reserved]

§19.11 Reconsideration panel.

- (a) Assignment of Members. When a motion for reconsideration is allowed, the Chairman will assign a panel of three or more Members of the Board, which may include the Chairman, to conduct the reconsideration.
- (b) Number of Members constituting a reconsideration panel. In the case of a matter originally heard by a single Member of the Board, the case shall be referred to a panel of three Members of the Board. In the case of a matter originally heard by a panel of Members of the Board, the case shall be referred to an enlarged panel, consisting of three or more Members than the original panel. In order to obtain a majority opinion, the number of Members assigned to a reconsideration panel may be increased in successive increments of three.
- (c) Members included in the reconsideration panel. The reconsideration panel may not include any Member who participated in the decision that is being reconsidered. Additional Members will be assigned in accordance with paragraph (b) of this section.

(Authority: 38 U.S.C. 7102, 7103) [61 FR 20449, May 7, 1996]